Minutes prepared by: Amy Smith  

## 2015 HLC Reaccreditation

### Subcommittee: Integrity  
**Meeting Date:** 10/23/2013  
**Meeting Time:** 12:00-2:00PM

### Members in Attendance

- Torres, Rodolfo H  
- Chasen, Jeff  
- Demantas, Ilana  
- Smith, Amy  
- Jan Sheldon  
- Chris Meiers  
- Colbert, Betty  
- Keel, William D.  
- Lim, Bob  
- MacNally, Susan

### Members Absent

- Ngondi Kamatuka  
- Reed II, David Glenn

### Topics Discussed

- Committee planned the next meeting – Nov. 8th
- Committee discussed the draft from component C team:
  - **Sub-component 1:** Susan suggested investigating and including some details about how the Regent members are identified and the length of the terms; performance agreements reviewed and approved by the Board: [http://oirp.ku.edu/PA/Agreement_090304.pdf](http://oirp.ku.edu/PA/Agreement_090304.pdf)
  - **Sub-component 2:** Academic: Investigate the CTE initiative for course redesign, Foundation Professorships – support from the Board; include mention of Board as an advocate for funding – mention Legislative Tour and the benefit of coming to campus to see us and how we operate; discussion of how we are unique and different (mission and focus) from other KS Regent institutions – could even tie in mention of new admissions standards here (or in 4 or overview); Board supported the move from civil service employees to university support staffers (personnel classification change) – gives us more autonomy for salary and other benefits for this group
  - **Sub-component 3:** add reference to State Ethics requirements and statements of substantial interests (annual SSI reporting) impacts the individuals at the Board level as well
  - **Sub-component 4:** All four senates have counterpart councils at the Board level, COIs and student affairs officers meet regularly at the Board level, Rodolfo investigating if Steve Warren has a similar group of research leaders, and; discussed categorizing the groups according to their reporting function/line to the Board (CAO vs Faculty Senate Presidents)
    - Make PTR its own section, rather than a sub-bullet, or as an introductory statement to the section
  - Can we find a response from the Board about CFE? If so, we can weave this into the intro
- Check in with teams A and B on revisions, made plans for follow-up discussions

### Tasks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tasks</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Who is Responsible?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Team C will incorporate comments from committee into draft</td>
<td></td>
<td>Meiers and Lim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team A will submit revised document to Campus Labs (or to Amy) by Nov 8th</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chasen and Keel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team B will present their revised draft the week of Nov 18th</td>
<td></td>
<td>Colbert and Reed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Future Meetings (Date/Time/Location): Friday, Nov 8th – team D (Sheldon & Demantas) presents, 12-2 (space in Alumni Association building worked well)