Members in Attendance

- Ann Cudd – Chair
- John Augusto
- Dan Bernstein
- Tammara Durham
- Erin Ellis
- Eddie Muñoz
- Lucy Russell
- Joan Sereno
- Cindy Teel
- Chris Wiles
- Barbara Russell - Staff

Topics Discussed

- Core Component 3D draft document including the arguments and evidence
- Framing the document taking into account differences between the Lawrence campus and KUMC
- To what extent do we need to do an analysis?

Conclusions Reached

- Susan explained to Ann that the entire document will be framed in such a way that we don’t have to point out differences each time but should include some examples to show the differences
- We should include an assessment of the services: are they needed, effective, why were they instituted in the first place? Make the case that we are adequate and raise a few issues to consider
- Since our section is only supposed to be a total of 30 pages, we can include a lot of information but should be selective as well so that something we consider important is not edited out
- There will be one meeting in late January to review the entire revised document

Tasks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tasks</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Who is Responsible?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Send Barbara a rough draft of the section at least 24 hours before the next meeting for circulation for the committee</td>
<td>12/05/13</td>
<td>Sub-group 3E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Come to the next meeting prepared to lead the discussion</td>
<td>12/06/13</td>
<td>Sub-group 3E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Come to the next meeting having read the description of criterion 3E. Be prepared to ask questions and discuss ideas about appropriate evidence.</td>
<td>12/06/13</td>
<td>All subcommittee members</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Future Meetings (Date/Time/Location):

- Friday, December 6, 8:30 – 10:30 A.M., in the Provost Conference Room, 250 Strong Hall